



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,464	04/17/2001	Harry M. O'Sullivan	740301-415	6002

7590 11/04/2003
CHARLES M. LEEDOM, JR
6524 TRUMAN LANE
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22043

EXAMINER

LELE, TANMAY S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2684

20

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

KS

Ex Parte Reexamination Interview Summary

Control No.

09/835,464

Patent Under Reexamination

O'SULLIVAN, HAR

Examiner

Tanmay S Lele

Art Unit

2684

All participants (USPTO personnel, patent owner, patent owner's representative):

(1) Tanmay S Lele

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Charles Leedom (Reg Number 26,477)

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 August 2003

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ patent owner 2) ☐ patent owner's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: "Bell System Technical Journal" 1979 Volume 58

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Any other agreement(s) are set forth below under "Description of the general nature of what was agreed to..."

Claim(s) discussed: 31 - 51.


Identification of prior art discussed: Labadz et al. (US Patent No 4,654,867) O'Sullivan (US Patent 4,697,281, Re 34,034, and Re 37,141).

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims patentable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims patentable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 2281). IF A RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION HAS ALREADY BEEN FILED, THEN PATENT OWNER IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO PROVIDE THE MANDATORY STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (37 CFR 1.560(b)). THE REQUIREMENT FOR PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT CAN NOT BE WAIVED. EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c).

cc: Requester (if third party requester)


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the prior art and specification with regards to the rejections. Applicant stated a formal response would shortly follow. Examiner requested "Bell System Technical Journal" 1979 Volume 58 be included in the next IDS to be reviewed. Applicant also requested status of application 10/141,880. Examiner agreed to follow-up on that case's status when it became known. Applicant also requested that the formal notice of allowance be made available as soon as possible and that the issue fee could be paid immediately there upon. Applicant also questioned if there was still means to expedite issuance of cases. Examiner agreed to follow-up on the noted questions as information became available.